#### **CARDIS 2019**

# Key Enumeration from the Adversarial Viewpoint

# When to Stop Measuring and Start Enumerating?

<u>Melissa Azouaoui</u> Romain Poussier François-Xavier Standaert Vincent Verneuil







# Outline

- Background: SCA, Enumeration and Rank Estimation
- Question
- Heuristic solution and comparison to related works
- Experiments
- Limitations
- Conclusion

#### Side-channel attacks



#### Side-channel attacks



4



#### Side-channel attacks



## Information on Subkeys Key = $k_0$ $k_1$ . . .

Probabilities (or Scores)

$$Pr[k_0] = 0$$
 $Pr[k_1] = 0$ 
 $Pr[k_{15}] = 0$ 
 $Pr[k_0] = 1$ 
 $Pr[k_1] = 1$ 
 $Pr[k_{15}] = 1$ 

 ...
 ...
 ...

 $\mathbf{k_{15}}$ 

#### Key enumeration

- Attacker tool
- Trade data complexity for time complexity

Enumerate keys starting with the next most probable one



#### Key enumeration

- Attacker tool
- Trade data complexity for time complexity

Enumerate keys starting with the next most probable one



#### Key rank estimation

- Evaluator tool that requires the knowledge of the key
- Finds the key rank efficiently without enumeration

## Histogram-based Key Rank Estimation Glowacz et al. FSE 2015

#### Key rank estimation

#### Histogram-based Key Rank Estimation – FSE 2015



#### Key rank estimation

#### Histogram-based Key Rank Estimation – FSE 2015



**RANK** = # of keys in the bins with higher log probability than the correct key

#### Question

#### **Practical problem:**

- An attacker does not know the position of key
- An attacker does not know if enumeration will succeed for a reasonable effort

#### Question

#### **Practical problem:**

- An attacker does not know the position of key
- An attacker does not know if enumeration will succeed for a reasonable effort

How to Efficiently approximate the rank without the knowledge of the key after an attack?

# Distribution of the key candidates log probabilities. X-axis: log probabilities, Y-axis: number of keys having a certain log probability

The red vertical line correspond to the bin where the log probability of the key is



- The entropy of the key tells us *approximately* how many bits of information are left to recover
- The histogram from the FSE'15 rank estimation method is a compressed representation of the distribution of the full key

- The entropy of the key tells us *approximately* how many bits of information are left to recover
- The histogram from the FSE'15 rank estimation method is a compressed representation of the distribution of the full key



Estimate the remaining entropy of the key using the histogram

#### Given the histogram:

bin[i] : center (log probability) of the i<sup>th</sup> bin
freq[i] : number of keys in the i<sup>th</sup> bin

The entropy can be estimated as:



Requires normalization s.t.  $\sum_{i} \mathbf{freq}[i] \cdot \exp(\mathbf{bin}[i]) = 1$ 

# Key-agnostic Rank Estimation Choudary and Popescu CHES'17 Bounds a GE-like metric that does not require the knowledge of the key

 $\mathbf{p} = \left[\mathbf{p}_{1} > \mathbf{p}_{2}, > \cdots > \mathbf{p}_{|K|}\right] : \text{Sorted vector of key probabilities}$  $\mathbf{GE}_{\mathbf{kl}} = \sum_{i} \mathbf{i} \times \mathbf{p}_{i} \qquad (2)$ 

Difference between the  $GE_{kl}$  and the GE (used in SCA):

 $\mathbf{GE}_{\mathbf{kl}} = \mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{attack}} \sum_{i} \mathbf{i} \times \mathbf{p}_{i}$ 

= Expectation of the position

of a key after the attack

 $GE = E_{attack}(R)$ 

= Expectation of the position or rank of the correct key

The  $GE_{kl}$  is close to the GE if the templates used for the attack are perfect

We look at what happens when using this key-less GE for the single-attack case.

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{GE}}_{\mathbf{kl}} \approx \sum_{i} \left( \sum_{j=i} \mathbf{freq}[j] \right) \cdot \exp(\mathbf{bin}[i])$$
(3)  
Position Probability

What we have so far and what we want to compare:

•  $\log_2(\mathbf{R})$ •  $\widetilde{\mathbf{H}}$ •  $\log_2(\widetilde{\mathbf{GE}}_{kl})$  requires the knowledge of the key

do not require the knowledge of the key

#### Experiments

Gaussian template attack on the AES

#### Simulated traces

- Sbox output (HW leakage,  $\sigma = 10$ )

**Real traces** 

- EM traces, ARM cortex-M3, Sbox output

#### Experiments: One attack



#### Simulated Leakages

**Real Traces** 

Experiments: distance to the rank

We compare:

- $\log_2 \mathbf{R} \widetilde{\mathbf{H}}$
- $\left|\log_2 \mathbf{R} \log_2 \widetilde{\mathbf{GE}}_{\mathbf{kl}}\right|$

On average, over multiple iterations of the attack, for different rank values.

#### Experiments: distance to the rank (simulated)

— average \_\_\_ maximum



Average distance

Variance of the distance

Experiments : distance to the rank (EM traces)

— average – – – maximum



Average distance

Variance of the distance

### Caveats and limitations

- Imperfect leakage characterization (for e.g. wrong assumption on the leakage model)
- Flawed attack (for e.g. wrong intermediate)

**Counter-example:**  $b \in F_2$ , b = 1

Attack 1
$$(\log_2 R = 0)$$
Attack 2 $(\log_2 R = 0)$  $\Pr[b = 0] = 0$  $\Pr[b = 0] = 0,45$  $\Pr[b = 1] = 1$  $\Pr[b = 1] = 0,55$ 

H[b] = 0 H[b] = 0,99277

#### Experiments: impact of the number of subkeys

average distance to  $log_2(Rank)$ 

# of subkeys



#### Simulated Leakages

**Real Traces** 

#### Conclusions

Efficient heuristic method to approximate the rank of the key without its knowledge for the single attack case

### Future work

- Propose a more precise technique or metric to approximate the rank in the same single attack scenario
- Key-less rank approximation for score based attacks

# Thank You